

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Take notice, the Uni-lateral Declaration on Behalf of Haiti dated October 17, 2018; HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT TABULA-RASA ACCORD/Cease-and-Desist Order dated March 26, 2021; **POWER OF ATTORNEY**; Affirmation as to **POWER OF ATTORNEY** being in full force; Notary Acknowledgement for **POWER OF ATTORNEY**; Filing receipt for HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT INCORPORATED; HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT Registration Forms (packet); Petitions and Signatures of the People of the diaspora and Republic of Haiti (packet); served to the United States Mission to the United Nations on March 30, 2021; served to the Consulate General of Haiti on March 30, 2021; served to the Mission of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela to the United Nations on March 30, 2021; served to the United Nations Headquarters on March 31, 2021 are at all times relevant to this action. After President Jovenel Moise was brutally assassinated while in the process of holding a Constitutional Referendum on behalf of the Haitian diaspora and Haiti; I decided to do an investigation and file an action at the **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**.

Take notice, I, the Lead **Claimant**, Marc Pierre, did file and appear in Class Action 22-CV-8504 by **POWER OF ATTORNEY** (Exhibit B) **On October 4, 2022 (as Attorney-in-Fact) and National Agent** of "The Principals"; **did file a Class-Action COMPLAINT on behalf of said Plaintiffs: the Republic of Haiti/Haitian diaspora and Denise Jean-Jacques; Isabelle Pierre; Sony Louis; Jean Merisier; Jean Charlot; Bense Mackenson; Khadija Rahmn- Aksp; Jimmy Michel; Martha Brigarde; Peterson Jacinthe; Joline Camille against said Defendants: CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Canada, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis United States, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee France, Consulate General of Haiti, De-Facto, Unelected, Transitional Administration of Ariel Henry with UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK; in order to block the pending UN intervention after the assassination of President Moise.**

Then I began working with Haitian Organizations (Platforme PDH, Committee 100 pou 100 Diaspora, Busta John) and with Denise Jean-Jacques; Isabelle Pierre; Sony Louis; Jean Merisier; Jean Charlot; Bense Mackenson; Khadija Rahmn- Aksp; Jimmy Michel; Martha Brigarde; Peterson Jacinthe; Joline Camille on implementing a new system in Haiti utilizing the Inter-Departmental Government. We also utilized 22-CV-8504 to block a pending UN military intervention which **the CORE Group-OAS Trust and the un-elected "Prime-Minister" Ariel Henry were seeking at the UNITED NATIONS**; but **this group fell apart due to infighting and infiltration. In late December I went down to the SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK courthouse in uniform to check on 22-CV-8504 and ironically ran into Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain whom went out of her way to "Thank me for my service". When I asked the court clerk about her; he told me how much of a great judge, awesome and nice person she was. I thought I had Default Judgement on 22-CV-8504 until I found out about her ORDER TO AMEND.**

TAKE NOTICE OF CASE REASSIGNMENT - SUA SPONTE by Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain whom issued an ORDER TO AMEND on Tuesday, December 20, 2022: The Court dismisses all claims brought on behalf of Haiti and Denise Jean-Jacques; Isabelle Pierre; Sony Louis; Jean Merisier; Jean Charlot; Bense Mackenson; Khadija Rahmn- Aksp; Jimmy Michel; Martha Brigarde; Peterson Jacinthe; Joline Camille. The Court grants

Plaintiff 30 days leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-8504 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue). SO, ORDERED. (Signed by Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain on 12/20/22) (rdz) Modified on 12/21/2022 (rdz).

Take notice, I, the Lead **Claimant**, Marc Pierre, did file an **AMENDED Class Action COMPLAINT 22-CV-8504** by **POWER OF ATTORNEY (Exhibit B)** on behalf of the people of the Republic of Haiti and Haitian Diaspora **contesting the CORE Group-OAS Trust** as "National Agent" of "The Principals" and **Attorney-in-Fact on Friday, January 20, 2023 against CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Canada, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis United States, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee France, Consulate General of Haiti, De-Facto, Unelected, Transitional Administration of Ariel Henry, The CORE Group along with all other documents I had filed at the UNITED NATIONS as attachments.**

Due to the failure of the committee to publicize info on said Class-Action COMPLAINT 22-CV-8504, trust issues, and the ORDER TO AMEND of Tuesday, December 20, 2022 I was forced to remove them from the Class-Action and proceed as Attorney-in-Fact, lead and only claimant.

I spread the word about Class-Action 22-CV-8504 contesting the CORE Group-OAS Trust thru-out the Haitian community. In mid-March I went to the SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK courthouse to check on Class-Action 22-CV-8504 and realized that I had a Default Judgement on my hands. I then began working with the Busta John Foundation on building a website for the repatriation of Haiti and that's when the crew I was working with told me, in order to verify my claim, they needed the CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT.

Monday, May 01, 2023

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE of Notice of motion, served on United Nations on 3/29/23. Service was made by Cert. Mail to 405 E. 42ns St., NY, NY 10017. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc)

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE of Notice of Motion, served on Permanent Mission of France to UN on 3/29/23. Service was made by cert. mail to 245 E. 47th St., 44th Floor, NY, NY 10017. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc)

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE of Notice of Motion served on U.S. Mission to U.N. on 3/29/23. Service was made by Cert. Mail. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc)

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE of Notice of Motion, served on Permanent Mission of Canada to UN on 3/29/23. Service was done by certified mail to office: 466 Lexington Ave., 20th Fl., NY, NY 10017. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc) Modified on 5/2/2023 (sc). Modified on 5/2/2023 (sc)

Thursday, May 18, 2023 STATEMENT OF DAMAGES. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc)

AFFIRMATION of Marc Pierre FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT, re:11 Proposed Default Judgment. Document filed by Marc Pierre

PROPOSED CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT. Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc) Proposed document to be reviewed and processed by Clerk's Office staff (No action required by chambers)

PROPOSED DEFAULT JUDGMENT, pursuant to FRCP 55(b)(2). Document filed by Marc Pierre. (sc) Proposed Default Judgment to be reviewed by Clerk's Office staff. Modified on 5/18/2023 (sc)

Monday, May 22, 2023

CIVIL JUDGMENT: For the reasons stated in the May 22, 2023, order, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from the Court's judgment would not be taken in good faith. SO, ORDERED. (Signed by Judge Laura Taylor Swain on 5/22/23) (rdz)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL: Plaintiff's amended complaint, filed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). The Court dismisses all claims brought against (1) the United States, under the doctrine of sovereign immunity, (2) Prime Minister Henry, Canada, and France, under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, and (3) the Consulate General of Haiti, construed as brought against the Chef de Poste, under the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) (iii). As the Court has dismissed this action, Defendants are not required to respond to the amended complaint. Accordingly, the Court directs the Clerk of Court not to process Plaintiff's proposed default judgment and certificates of default. (See ECF 11-13.) This action is closed and all motions filed in this action are to be terminated. The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962). The Clerk directs the Clerk of Court to enter judgment in this action. SO ORDERED. (Signed by Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain on 5/22/23) (rdz)

After filing the proper documents for a CIVIL DEFAULT JUDGMENT; when I went down to the SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK courthouse to check on 22-CV-8504 and found out about Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain's ORDER OF DISMISSAL, on behalf of

the defendants, and was shocked. Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain's **ORDER OF DISMISSAL of Class-Action 22-CV-8504** was unprofessional and illegal due to the fact that it was a Default Judgement of which none of the defendants showed up in the action; she waited until the last minute to dismiss it; and she left me no chance at appeal.

After Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain's **ORDER OF DISMISSAL of the Plaintiff's amended complaint, 22-CV-8504, filed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. I lost credibility within the Busta John Foundation and the Haitian community because I had all but guaranteed to get the DEFAULT JUDGMENT. Any issues that she had with 22-CV-8504 was addressed with her ORDER TO AMEND of Tuesday, December 20, 2022; so, she had no legal basis to dismiss the DEFAULT JUDGMENT and left me no option to file an appeal on 22-CV-8504. The fact of the matter is:**

(1) Take notice, I, the Lead **Claimant**, Marc Pierre, did file an **AMENDED Class Action COMPLAINT 22-CV-8504** by **POWER OF ATTORNEY (Exhibit B)** on behalf of the people of the Republic of Haiti and Haitian Diaspora **contesting the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust** as "National Agent" of "The Principals" and **Attorney-in-Fact on Friday, January 20, 2023 against CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee CANADA, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis UNITED STATES, CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee FRANCE, Consulate General of Haiti, De-Facto, Unelected, Transitional Administration of CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS employee Ariel Henry.**

(2) Sovereign immunity of CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis United States doesn't apply because I brought a claim contesting the Trustees of the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust whom are operating as corporate fictions in Haiti, not under their "sovereign-capacity".

(3) The **AMENDED Class Action COMPLAINT** was against Corporate fictions Ens Legis FRANCE; Ens Legis CANADA; and Ens Legis UNITED STATES as Trustees of the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust whom are the *"legal-advisor" to the government of Haiti.*

(4) Sovereign immunity doesn't apply to CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS employee Ariel Henry and *Injunctive Relief from "Prime-Minister Ariel Henry could have been granted because it is well documented that he was appointed as the un-elected "Prime-Minister" of Haiti, via Twitter on July 18, 2021 by members of the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust; therefore* sovereign immunity doesn't apply to "PM" *Ariel Henry.*

(5) The Consulate General of Haiti in NY is just the address I utilized to serve CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS employee Ariel Henry. In fact, the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust have placed a foreign oligarchy in Haiti like Andre Apaid whom serve as foreign international intermediaries; own and operate all of the Haitian consulates, all sea ports, warehouse, big businesses; and control 80-90% of the Haitian economy!

Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain issued an **ORDER TO AMEND** on Tuesday, December 20, 2022 for Complaint 22-CV-8504 which she claimed could be dismissed for failure to state a

claim upon which relief may be granted: **ORDER TO AMEND** issued on Tuesday, December 20, 2022: The Court dismisses all claims brought on behalf of Haiti and Denise Jean-Jacques; Isabelle Pierre; Sony Louis; Jean Merisier; Jean Charlot; Bense Mackenson; Khadija Rahmn- Aksp; Jimmy Michel; Martha Brigarde; Peterson Jacinthe; Joline Camille. The Court grants Plaintiff 30 days leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-8504 (LTS). Yet, nowhere within her **ORDER TO AMEND** did she mention lack of subject matter jurisdiction as reason for dismissal of 22-CV-8504.

Wherefore,

If Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain felt that subject matter jurisdiction was factor for 22-CV-8504 she should have brought it up within her **ORDER TO AMEND** of December 20, 2022. Instead Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain waited until after I, lead Plaintiff, went to close-out the Default Judgement and had filed the **STATEMENT OF DAMAGES, AFFIRMATION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT, PROPOSED CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT, and PROPOSED DEFAULT JUDGMENT** to file a last minute to **COURT ORDERED DIDSMISSAL** due to lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Subject-matter Jurisdiction of 22-CV-8504

- The Alien Tort Claims Act grants Federal District Courts original jurisdiction over alien (non-US) parties for torts committed in violation of the law of nations.
- The relief sought by I, the Plaintiff, Marc Pierre, Attorney-In-Fact, National Agent and Representative of said principal: The Republic of Haiti and Haitian Diaspora is 5 million.
- The relief sought by the HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT Inc. is 30 billion of the 2010 earthquake relief funds from the United Nations which was embezzled by the Clinton Foundation thru the Interim Haiti Reconstruction Commission (IHRC).
- The relief sought by the class participants is the **20 million USD (plus interest) paid to France in 1888 due to the 1825** Royal Ordinance of Charles X (**Independence Debt**) which is equivalent to \$623,738,947.37 million in 2022
- The relief sought by the class participants is the **40 million USD (plus interest) paid to the ens legis UNITED STATES in 1947 due to the 1825** Royal Ordinance of Charles X (**Independence Debt**) which is equivalent to \$531,436,771.30 million in 2022 (plus interest).
- The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 gives jurisdiction to the federal court system in any class action suit in which there are 100 or more plaintiffs, where any of the class of plaintiffs live in a state different from any defendant, or the amount of damages sought exceeds \$5 million.

I felt dismayed and viewed the Court Ordered Dismissal of the Default Judgement of 22-CV-8504 due to lack of subject-matter jurisdiction by Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain as suspect due to these facts:

a) It was a default judgement of which none of the defendants showed up in the action.

b) The Court Ordered Dismissal of Default Judgement was dismissed without prejudice and left me no chance at appeal even though it was evident that the Republic of Haiti was still under the auspices of a Trust.

c) The fact that Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain waited until after I had filed a PROPOSED CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT and a PROPOSED DEFAULT JUDGMENT to bring up lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

The fact that **Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain** waited until the last minute to utilize **lack of subject matter jurisdiction to dismiss this case made her actions look suspect because this isn't a mistake which a Chief Judge of a District Court should make. When I told the court clerks what happened, they apologized for her actions but stated; there was nothing they could do.** Civ. P. 12(h)(3) (“If the court determines at any time that it lacks subject-matter jurisdiction, the court must dismiss the action.”); see also *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869) (“Jurisdiction is power to declare the law, and when it ceases to exist, the only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the cause.”) The fact of the matter is; The Plaintiff is aware that in federal court, a motion to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction is considered a favored defense and may be raised at any point in the litigation process, even if the parties had previously argued that subject-matter jurisdiction existed but the fact that **Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain waited until the lead Plaintiff filed a PROPOSED DEFAULT JUDGMENT to bring up lack of subject matter jurisdiction made it seem like she had blocked my action case on behalf of someone.**

After the last-minute Court Ordered Dismissal of Default Judgement 22-CV-8504 by **Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain; the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust stepped up their efforts to get another military intervention in Haiti. The CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS trustee ens legis UNITED STATES and CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS trustee ens legis CANADA sponsored a CARICOM summit in Kingston, Jamaica from June 11 to 13, 2023. There consultations were held between CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS trust employee, de facto Prime Minister of Haiti Ariel Henry; stakeholders from the Haitian government, the political opposition, and actors from Haitian civil society in order to find solutions to the ongoing crises in Haiti. After the CARICOM summit a number of Haitian human rights and civil society organizations, some of whom participated in the Kingston, Jamaica summit, issued an urgent appeal outlining a rights-based approach to the current crises in Haiti: “An essential first step is to stop propping up the set of actors who created the crises facing the country, including those currently in power,” the groups wrote. They called for a “Haitian-Led Reparations Process” and the creation of a new, legitimate transitional government. In late June, the UN’s independent human rights expert on Haiti, William O’Neill, concluded a 10-day visit to the country. In a press conference, O’Neill called the deployment of an international force to work alongside the Haitian national police an “essential” step in restoring security. Just days later, UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres visited Haiti’s**

capital. After leaving Port-au-Prince, Guterres traveled to Trinidad and Tobago to participate in the CARICOM summit, where discussions concerning Haiti continued.

Therefore,

I began an investigation into Haiti's Independence Debt, due to the fact that Haiti was still under the auspices of the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust and CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustees ens legis FRANCE and ens legis UNITED STATES were implicated in Haiti's Independence Debt. After completing and filing another complaint concerning Haiti's Independence Debt contesting the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust. I filed a CLAIM OF TRESPASS BY WAY OF UNJUST ENRICHMENT index no. 23-cv-07093 against the Defendants: CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust; CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis UNITED STATES, National City Bank of New York (Citibank); CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis FRANCE, French Bankers Lafitte Rothschild Lapanonze (Rothschild & Co), Société Générale de Crédit Industriel et Commercial (CIC) (Crédit Mutuel); CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trustee Ens Legis CANADA (CANADA MINERALS and METALS PLAN) on August 10, 2023. I did take notice that even though said complaint was given an index no. yet when I tried to look it up in their system; I couldn't locate it. After filing said document; I already felt like someone at the courthouse was trying to block the case.

Subject-matter Jurisdiction of 23-CV-07093

The Alien Tort Claims Act grants Federal District Courts original jurisdiction over alien (non-US) parties for torts committed in violation of the law of nations.

The relief sought by the class participants is the 20 million USD (plus interest) paid to France in 1888 due to the 1825 Royal Ordinance of Charles X (Independence Debt)

The relief sought by the class participants is the 40 million USD (plus interest) paid to the ens legis UNITED STATES in 1947 due to the 1825 Royal Ordinance of Charles X (Independence Debt)

The relief sought by the class participants is 30 billion of the 2010 earthquake relief funds from the United Nations which was embezzled by the Clinton Foundation thru the Interim Haiti Reconstruction Commission (IHRC).

The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 gives jurisdiction to the federal court system in any class action suit in which there are 100 or more plaintiffs, where any of the class of plaintiffs live in a state different from any defendant, or the amount of damages sought exceeds \$5 million.

This court has jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28 § 1331.

After filing 23-CV-07093 during the summer, I began to work on establishing a National-Trust-Infrastructure for Haiti. The people of Haiti are worried about a Kenyan Intervention of Haiti by the UN. I had taken no action on 23-CV-07093 and was working on how to block the Kenyan Intervention, when I received a Court Ordered Dismissal of 23-CV-07093 from Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain for lack of Subject-matter Jurisdiction concerning 23-CV-07093 claiming it was a duplicative action and denied IFP status for the purpose of an appeal. I found it peculiar that the

same judge was put on the docket of my filings. Within her Court Ordered Dismissal of 23-CV-07093 Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain claims:

a) 23-CV-07093 wasn't facially plausible to hold the Defendants liable for the alleged misconduct yet I clearly utilized Haiti Wiki-Leaks, UNSCR 940, UNSCR 1542, UNSCR 1892 to expose the malfeasant actions of the Defendants in Haiti.

b) Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain also mentions that the underlying claim of 23-CV-07093 is the Uni-lateral Declaration on Behalf of Haiti which was an investigation I did into Haiti's earthquake relief funds, which was embezzled by the Clintons via the IHRC; but the fact of the matter the claim clearly states that the underlying claim are the Uni-lateral Declaration on Behalf of Haiti dated October 17, 2018; HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT TABULARASA ACCORD/Cease-and-Desist Order dated March 26, 2021; **POWER OF ATTORNEY**; Affirmation as to **POWER OF ATTORNEY** being in full force; Notary Acknowledgement for **POWER OF ATTORNEY**; Filing receipt for HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT INCORPORATED; HAITI REFORMATION PROJECT Registration Forms (packet); Petitions and Signatures of the People of the diaspora and Republic of Haiti (packet); served to the United States Mission to the United Nations on March 30, 2021 is at all times relevant to this action. is at all times relevant to this action.

c) Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain also claims Alien Tort Claims Act doesn't apply because the "Plaintiffs claim does not sound in tort" but seeks to litigate issues concerning the Haitian government; but 23-CV-07093 was filed to litigate and contest the illegal/covert placement of Haiti under a trust via UNSCR 1542 and Haiti's Independence Debt. Besides the Alien Tort Claims Act was not the only tort I brought the claim under.

d) Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain also denied me leave to amend 23-CV-07093 by claiming that the court granted me leave to amend 22-CV-8504 but that I "could not cure the defects in the pleadings"; if so why did she allow 22-CV-8504 to proceed?

e) Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain also claimed that my amended pleading distinguished itself from the original pleading in length only; which is patently false because the original complaint centered around Haiti Wiki-Leaks, UNSCR 940, UNSCR 1542, UNSCR 1892 exposing the actions of the US state department and Clintons in Haiti after Haiti's 2010 earthquake and the embezzling of over 20 billion of relief funds by the Clintons via the IHRC; once she forced me to amend said complaint to focus on Contesting the CORE Group/CORE Group-OAS Trust which wasn't in the original pleadings.

On November 6, 2023; just as she had done with amended complaint of 22-CV-8504; Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain ordered the dismissal of 23-CV-07093 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction (this time she only waited 3 months to do it). Closed the action and terminated all motions filed in the action. She went on to warn that "further duplicative litigation in this Court" would result her issuing an order barring me "from filing new actions IFP without prior permission". She also denied me IFP status for purpose of appeal stating that "it would not be taken in good faith". At the time I received said document; I was in the process of preparing an action to block UNSCR 2699 (a pending Kenyan Intervention of Haiti which the people don't want); and after receiving said document I had second thoughts about filing any further actions (under Power-of-Attorney on behalf of the Haitian people.

During the action of 22-CV-8504 Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain had lulled me into thinking that she was in support of my action because on a visit to her courthouse to check on 22-CV-8504 (in my dress blues); someone yelled out to me "thank you for service" from far way down the hallway. When I turned around to acknowledge the statement; I saw a white lady in a black robe speaking to a security guard and I thought to myself "damn why is this lady reaching out so hard to greet me". Once I found out this lady was Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain who was presiding over my action; I was surprised and thought that she must have veterans in her family and I was in good hands.

The fact-of-the-matter is Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain should not have waited until after I filed an **AFFIRMATION of Marc Pierre FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT; PROPOSED CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT; and PROPOSED DEFAULT JUDGMENT** to issue her **COURT ORDERED DISMISSAL** of 22-CV-8504 for "lack of subject matter jurisdiction". It's unprofessional and makes it seem as if she utilizes "lack of subject matter jurisdiction" as a catch-all because she couldn't dismiss 22-CV-8504 on the strength of its merits. What's even worse is that Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain went on to connect 22-CV-8504 with 23-CV-07093 even though it concerned Haiti's Independence Debt.

What's ironic is that despite all of her discrepancies concerning 22-CV-8504 and 23-CV-07093; I didn't view the actions of Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain as vindictive nor expected her to have any ulterior motives (concerning my action) until I find out that she was a F.O.B.! Haiti Wiki-leaks was first to expose FOB's (Friends of Bill) once it revealed that Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was coordinating with a Clinton Foundation official to identify FOB's (Friends of Bill) in order to prioritize them for contracts in Haiti with the IHRC after Haiti's 2010 earthquake. For instance, one of these FOB's was Denis O'Brien who got his lucrative Digicel contract in Haiti from the IHRC due to donations to the Clinton Foundation. Throughout their political career the Clintons have utilized F.O.B.'s (Friends of Bill) as assets or prioritized them in pay to play politics. During his tenure as Governor of Arkansas William J. Clinton was implicated in a money laundering scheme associated with the Iran/Contra scandal and F.O.B.'s. Arkansas legislators voted on a Bill creating the Arkansas Development Finance Authority (ADFA) to provide low interest bond loans to churches, schools and colleges. Instead the ADFA, represented by the Rose Law Firm where Hillary Clinton worked as a lawyer and lobbyist, made low interest bond loans strictly to F.O.B.'s (Friends of Bill).

As it turns out, Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain was a United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Eastern District of New York from November 1, 1996, to July 11, 2000 until she was nominated by President Clinton to be a United States District Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on April 25, 2000! **Laura Taylor Swain (F.O.B.)** was then confirmed to the seat vacated by Judge Thomas P. Griesa by the United States Senate on June 16, 2000, and received her commission on July 11, 2000.

As an F.O.B., Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain should've recused herself from all of actions concerning 22-CV-8504 and 23-CV-07093 because that is a huge conflict of interest; due to the fact that this case revolves around the actions of Clintons in Haiti. Instead (as an F.O.B.) **Chief Judge Laura Taylor Swain** appeared in my action SUA SPONTE in order to defend the illicit actions of the Clintons

in Haiti. In fact, I think she may have coerced me to amend 22-CV-8504 in order to take the focus off of the illicit actions of the Clintons in Haiti, such as:

- **United Nations Security Council Resolution 1892 issued on 13 October 2009 make William J. Clinton UN Special Envoy to Haiti 3 months prior to the Haitian earthquake and Executor of the IHRC Funds?**
- **How did the United Nations know that the Republic of Haiti would need Special Envoy William J. Clinton for a major catastrophe in Haiti 3 months before it happened?**
- **My Uni-Lateral Declaration on Behalf of Haiti exposed how UNSCR 940 issued on 31 July 1994 is responsible for the Incursion of DynCorp Int. into Haiti. Why was DynCorps Int. providing technical and logistical support to HAARP and SOUTHCOMM before the January 12, 2010 earthquake which occurred in Haiti?**
- **The Interim Haiti Recovery Commission (IHRC), co-chaired by Bill Clinton and former Haitian Prime Minister Jean-Max Bellerive which embezzled over 20 billion of Haiti's Earthquake Relief funds.**

I, declare (or certify, verify, or state) under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct . _____

Executed: _____

Notice: using a notary on this instrument does not constitute any adhesion, nor does it alter my status in any manner. The notary is for the purpose of providing verification and identification only but is not a party to this claim and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction or benefit thereof

Acknowledgement for Individual

State of New York

County of _____

I, a Notary Public, hereby certify that Marc Pierre

whose name is signed to the foregoing instrument or conveyance and who is known to me, acknowledged before me on this day that being informed of the contents of the conveyance; he executed the same voluntarily on the day the same hours date:

Given under my hand this month _____ day of _____, 20_____

(Signature of Notary Officer)

